In The court of Appeals Division 11

COURT OF APPEALS
DIVISION II

2019 AUG -9 PM 12: 51

STATE OF WASHINGTON
BY
REPUTY

In the personal restraint of Andrew Boyd

No. 13-1-03713-2

Andrew Boyd 382895 CA-55-ZU Coyote Ridge correction center Po Box 769 Connell, wa 99326

Table of contents

Sentencing Transcripts exibit A

U.S SUP

miller VAlabama 567 U-S 460 ZOIZ	P3-1
Grahm v Florida 560 U.S 48.76 2010	Pg.2
Roper v Simmons 543 U.S 55 569 2005	مع- د
State	
State V Nouston-Sconiers wn. 2d 39 p. 3d 409 413 2017	pg · 2
State v ode 11 183 wash 2d 680 358 P.3d 359 2015.	pg-3,6
State v 12w 154 wasn 2d 85 110 p.38 717 2005	pg-3
State u Hamim 132 wash. 20 834, 840 940 P.20 633 1997	pg.3,4
State v Miller 185 wash 20 111 , 116 371 7.30 528 530 2016	pg.5
R.L.W	
R.C.w 9.94A.340	rg.4
R.C.w 9.94A.535	pg · 8,9
Key	
Petitioner, Defendant is Andrew Boyd	
Exibit	

•	
t	In The Court of Appeals Division 11
2	In the personal restraint 7.8 motion for relief from
3	of Andrew James Boyd Judgement and resentencing
4	NO. 2019 AUG STATE OF
ζ	DE WASH
Ç	THE TE AL
1	Defendent moves this court pursuant to 7.6 motion for relieu
8	From Judgement and for resentencing.
9 .	More specifically Defendant Andrew Boyd who was 18 years 36 days old
10	at the time of his crime was given a 320 month sentence, asserts
11	that the law has changed regarding the sentencing or youth and that
12 .	change applies reproactively. As a result the defendants current Sentence is
13	unlawful and this motion is timely.
14	Defendant Andrew Boyd respectfully request that this court call for a response
15	and Set a Nearing date on this motion.
14	The washigton. Arguement
17	The washington State supreme court recently reconized that the law
1 \$	regarding the sentencing of youth has changed, Children are different mille

V Alabama 567 v.s 460 2012, that diffrence has constitutional ramifications

An offenders age is relevant to the eight Amendament and socriminal

19

ر 0

1 Procedure laws that fail to take defendants youthfullness into account at all would be Flawed. Gramm V Florida 560 U.S 49,78 2010 U.S const Amend. VIII.

b

State V houston - Sconters wn-2d 391 P.3d 409 413 2017, because of the reconsisting that Children are diffrent, Sensencing courts are required to consider the attributes of youth when imposing a Sentence and have full discretion to impose a Sentence below the guidelines and Evan less than a mandadory Sentencing enhancement of your required.

The Eighth Amendment requires a sentencing court to consider the militarity qualties of youth even in adult court.

The united states supreme courts recent decision explicitly moids that the Eighth Amende to the united states constitution compets us to receive that children are different see eig miller (32 5 ct. at 2470, Children are different gramm 560 u.s. at 88-70 differenses between Children and adults are constitutional in nature and implicate eighth Amendment and Scalencing Tractices. Roper v Simming 5413 u-5 55 569 20 2005.

Critically that supreme court has also explained that the Eighth Amendment requires trial courts to consider the mitigating factors of youth and exercise discretion whenever a juvenile is scalenced see, eg miller 132 S. Ct at 29 (48-72 listing reasons why certin mitigating factors had to be considered at the fine of the childs initial scalencing. The washington state supreme court stated that a sentencing court annot avoid the Eighth Amendment.

requirment to treat children diffrently with discretion and with consideration 1 of mitigating factors at sentencing, because the defendants sentencing did not comply 1 3 with requirments of the Eight Amendment resenting is required. 4

Odell also changed the law.

5

b

9

10

11

11

13

14

15

16

17

1 \$

20

State vodell 183 wash 2d 680 358 P.3d 358 2015 announced a new rule that applies retroactivity.

To determine wether State vodell Changes the law It is important to determine what the law was regarding whether youth was considered relevant to any mitigating Circumstances prior to odell. The washington state Supreme court epplained the applicable law in stell V law 154 wash. 20 85 110 P.3d 717 2005. Law involved the states appear from an exeptionly lenit Sentence the supreme court reversed that Sentence after comprehensivly explaing the law regarding when exeptionly lenit sentences was and was not avalible Focusing on the earlier decision in State V Hamim 132 wasn. 2d 834,840 940 P.2d G33 1997. The law begins,

Our case law on this subject is well established. We have held that the s.r.a establishes a two part test to determine if a sentencing departure is Justified as a matter of law. In determing whether a factor legally supports departure from the standard range, this court employs a two part test first a trial court may not base an exceptional sentence on factors necessarily considered

by the legislature in establishing the Standard range sentence, Second the asserted aggraving or mitigating factor must be sufficiently substantial and Compelling to distiguish the crime in question from other in the same Category. 154 wash. 20 95 a voting Hamim 132 wash. 20 at 840 law contined. Our cases have applied R.c.w 9.94 a. 340 to prohibit exeptional Sentences based on factors Personal in nature to a particular defendant. 154 2d at 97. The supreme Court then explained It's holding in Hamim there the defendant was 18 years old with no previous police contacts when she took part in the armed robbery at a beauty Scion. Haimim 132 wasn. 2d at 836-37 940 p.zd 633 the trial court, relying on the defendants youth and lack of criminal history imposed an exeptional sentence OF 31 monans departing downwards from the Standard range of 55-56 months id 11 at 837-38,940 P.Zd 633.

2

3

4

5

Ç

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

1 8

19

20

On review this court rejected the use or age as a mitigating factor id at 846, 940 P.Zd G33 in doing so this court relied on R.C. ~ 9.94A.340 in concluding that the age of the defendant does not relate to the crime or the privous record OF the defendant id at 847,940 P-2d 633 thus we need that this personal factor was not substatial and compelling reason to impose an exeptional sentence 154 wash. 2d at 97-98 emphasis added the law Concluded in sum this court has constintantly interpreted the S-roa to require mititigating and aggraving factors to relate to the crime and distiguish id at 98.

youth was regarded as not related to the crime because neuroscience had not enlighter us about the developing brain evan when, in did this nations could were understandbly cautious in applying that new Science to the law.

1

3

4

5

7

8

4

11

. 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

The washington state Supreme court has previously held a significant charge in the law requires that law not counsels understanding of the 16w on an unsettled question has a manged. Statesmiller 185 wash. 2d 111,116 371 1.3d 578 530 2016.

The defendant Andrew Boyd makes a modest proposal the washington state supreme courts explanation of its prior decisions controlls law makes the holding OF Hamim so clear and unequivacol that It bears repeating caterically speaking youth does not relate the erime and therefore does not diminish culability to argue otherwise is to suggest that the washington State Supreme court is unreliable on its own case, odell does not depart from law on the issue of whether the law at the time of framing, law and up to odell door not not depart, also neld that youth was not caterorically irrelevent to assessing culpability and punishment instead odell holds that Statutorily created mitigating circumstances have always permited exeptional sentences to be imposed and upneed where a defendant makes a snowing of dimished responsability. However the legislature could

not have considered the science of the developing brain before It &

1	existed. Thus we decline to hold that the regislature could not have
Z	considered the relationship between age and culpability, when st
3	made the s.r.a applicable to all derendants 18 years and older. Odell 183
4	20 693.
5	Scientific advances in the study of adolesent brain development

Scientific advances in the study of adolesent brain development unavailable to the Hamim court show that youth can significantly mitigate culpability, at 493 odell. Continued.

Today we do have the benifit of those advances in the sciintific riteture thus we now know that age may very well mitigate a defendant's culpability evan if the defendant is over the age of 18. id 695. Odell

A defendant who is more than 18 years old can be a mitigating factor justifying a sentence below the standard range in there is some evidence that the offenders youthfull ness in fact impaired the capacity to appear the wrongfullness or the criminal conduct

Chart from distinguishing youth, article found in the annalis of the 2008 New York accdemy of science march 2008 volume 1124, the year in congitine nerv science 2008 pages 111-126.

1. Immaturity

Ģ

2. Impetuosity, Pure Pressure, Spontaneous, Following Friends
3. Failure to apperciate risk and consequenses.

Í	4. The surrounding family and nome environment
Z	Petitioner Andrew Boyd's age directly relates to the crime wich
3	can be seen thru the attached May 2015 Sentencing transcripts
L _l	The sentencing transcripts provide the following,
5	Sentencing transcripts page 14 line 7 thru page 17 line 25 Decense
6	Coursel michael clark,
7 .	This was an intersting case because It was clear to me from
В	the evidence that this was not planned out in any scal sense of
9	the word, There was a witness that herd some comments wich
(0	Seemed to indicate that it was a Spontaneous incident and th
H .	one person cooked It up on the spot and the other person just
12	went along, video evidence from the garage snows Andrew Boyd's co-
13	defendant Jeremy Bennett enter and andrew Boyd Follow after It
14	also shows Bennett approach the victim and following along late
15	with subjection to peer pressure. Boyd Followed Bennett.
14	The Petitioner does show thro his sentencing transcripts that his
17	crime was random, not planned and subjected to peer pressure to go alo
14	with the robbery.

The evidence also shows that the Petitioner never had a stable

home or family throughout his childhood.

19

Change in Law

Ç

1 Z

P.C.W'S 9.94A and 9.94A.535 include,

Expanded the statutory mitigating factor for Felony Sentencing to include Susceptibility to peer pressure and other Ractors related to youthfullness at the time of the offenses for all defendants not Just defendants charged for offenses committed under the age of 18.

House committe amendments updated 4.12.19

The mitigating factor for adjusting a sentence is modified, a

Judge is allowed to impose a sentence below the standard range when

ne or sue finds that the defendant is less cultable because of youthfull

at the time of the offense with is demonstrated by age, susceptibility

to peer pressure lack of sophistication and maturity or other factors

not shown in a fully developed adult, father than when the Judge

finds that the defendants age, lack or sophistication susceptibility

to peer pressure or other factors relating to the defendants youthkullness

fender the defendant less cultable than for the offense had been

committed by a fully developed adult R.C.W 9.84A.535.

CONCLUSION

The defendant Andrew Boyd Respectfully ask this court to remand for a new sentencing hering to explain the importance

ſ	Of youth and now youthfullness impacted the defendants actions when
۷	ne became an acomplice in a random street robbery while walking
3	home.
4	The defendant did not ask for an exeptional sentence of explain
5	the importance of youth and crime at his original may 2015 Sentencing
6	hering. Sec sentencing transcripts.
٦	The defendant did not five a direct appeal due to the decison in
8	state vodell being published months later and the amended R.C. w's
٩	9.74A and R.c. w 9.94A.535 years leter.
10	The defendant prays that this court will remand for a new
II.	senteneing mering.
12	
13	
14	
15	
۱ ۴	Andrew Boyd 382895 CA-55-2U
17	Coyote Ridge correction center P.o Box 769

1 5

1	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON
2	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF PIERCE
3	
4	STATE OF WASHINGTON,)
5	Plaintiff,
6	vs.) No. 13-1-03713-2
7	ANDREW JAMES BOYD,
8	Respondent.)
9	VERBATIM REPORT OF PROCEEDINGS
10	[Sentencing]
11	May 13, 2015
12	Honorable GAROLD E. JOHNSON
13	Department No. 10 Pierce County Superior Court
14	
15	APPEARANCES For the Plaintiff: Mark Lindquist
16	Bryce Nelson
17	Deputy Prosecuting Attorneys
18	For the Defendant Michael Steven Clark
19	Attorney at Law
20	Also present: Andrew Boyd
21	
22	
23	LESLIE J. THOMPSON, CCR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
24	PIERCE COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT 930 TACOMA AVE S., #334
25	TACOMA, WA 98402 ORIGINAL (253) 798-2979

THE COURT: Counsel, when you're ready, please identify yourselves for the record.

MR. LINDQUIST: Mark Lindquist for the people.

Also present with me is deputy prosecutor Bryce
Nelson, defense counsel Mr. Clark, and defendant
Mr. Boyd, who is present in custody. Here this morning
on your calendar for sentencing.

THE COURT: Very good.

When you're ready to proceed, go ahead.

MR. LINDQUIST: Thank you, Your Honor.

A lot of people in the community talked to me about this murder. The crime rattled the sense of security people have in their neighborhoods, especially in the Stadium District where this occurred.

Mr. Howse had a lot of friends, was well liked by his neighbors, and had a great relationship with his family. You'll be hearing from them soon.

You have a recommendation which we gave you Monday. The recommendation takes into account the seriousness and senselessness of this crime, the impact on the community and other factors, including mitigating factors.

As I said Monday, this plea of guilty to murder in the first degree provides justice, closure, and some certainty for the community.

When the Court is ready we'll bring up some members

of the family and some friends who would also like to 1 2 address the Court, Your Honor. THE COURT: Very good. 3 Would you like to hear from them first, Counsel? 4 MR. CLARK: Yes, Your Honor. 5 6 THE COURT: Does anyone wish to speak in of the 7 family? 8 MR. LINDQUIST: Travis Howse, Mr. Howse's son. 9 THE COURT: Mr. Howse, come forward. 10 If I could have you provide your name, for the 11 record. Travis Howse. 12 MR. HOWSE: 13 THE COURT: Do you have a cell? 14 MR. HOWSE: I have my statement. 15 THE COURT: You can't record is all. 16 MR. HOWSE: A lot of talk has occurred over the last couple weeks about the word "justice." And today I 17 think we finally see a piece of that. A piece, not true 18 19 justice, because in my mind that would be the death 20 sentence. 21 Larry Howse was a man whose life was worth more than this man could ever amount to. He was a loving father, 22 23 brother and husband. We all knew him for his signature 24 smile that would light up a room. 25 My dad was an outdoorsman. We would go salmon

fishing, crabbing, and camping trips every chance we 1 could growing up. He was my life coach, guiding every step, providing profound advice every bump in the road. 3 The smile I mentioned earlier was never bigger than 5 the day he saw me graduate basic training. He was so proud of what I had accomplished, so happy for what the 6 future held for me. 8 Unfortunately, the future held much darker events for 9 That night his life was lost, a piece of mine was 10 Nothing can ever bring him back. too. 11 All I can do is ask you, Judge Johnson, please give 12 this man the maximum possible sentence. What has been 13 recommended by the prosecution feels less like justice 14 and more like a second crime. 15 Thank you, sir. THE COURT: Thank you. 16 17 Who is next to speak? 18 MR. LINDQUIST: Your Honor, I think Julie 19 Cryderman. 20 THE COURT: Ms. Cryderman. 21 Please state your name and spell your last name for 22 the record. 23 MS. CRYDERMAN: Julie Cryderman, C-r-y-d-e-r-m-a-n. 24

THE COURT: What would you like to tell the

Court.

MS. CRYDERMAN: I'm going to skip some of this stuff, because I think I gave a copy of it, and Travis spoke very well.

I was just going to say when we were growing up we were taught to be respectful, to value freedom and hard work and work for the things that you want.

And it's these values that are shaken. I no longer feel safe or trust in my world. My life is angrier, quieter, dark without Larry.

And then I say, surprising how justice works. 18 months ago there was a witness who stated Boyd had a gun on August 31st. But this week, due to time elapsing and street life that story has changed.

Boyd can be coming out of the parking garage after the murder placing something into his pants. Logic would say it was the gun. Justice says it could have been something else.

Boyd is very skilled with a gun. He shoots for the kill zone.

And I say Tacoma, your crime rate is very high.

After good police work the justice system seems to return criminals to the streets quickly.

Bennett, the partner of the murder suspect, received 28 years, and the probable shooter will only get 23 to

25?

Andrew Boyd is a predator. He waited for the garage door to open with a plan to commit robbery. Then he murdered my brother Larry in cold blood. He is a dangerous criminal at a very young age.

He is a liar, and he knows how to work the justice system. He has committed other crimes against Tacoma citizens. His terror stopped when he was arrested for the murder of my brother. Tacoma Police Department again did good work.

I just ask you, don't turn this animal out to prey on Tacoma in 23 to 25 years. He deserves the max.

Boyd must be locked away to protect the grandparents, parents and children of Tacoma. Boyd would kill your family if he had a chance.

I ask myself what is justice, and there is none. What has been taken can't be replaced. And I only hope this man is locked up so society is kept safe and this doesn't happen to another family.

Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you, ma'am.

Next on the list is Ms. Howse.

MR. LINDQUIST: Yes. Melissa Howse.

MS. HOWSE: I'm reading this for Cooper, but my name is Melissa Howse.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MS. HOWSE: I can walk and talk, and I'm incredibly grateful for the life that God has gifted to me.

The heinous part of it -- everyone dies, everyone loses their parents. Boyd did, however, murder my father in an ultimate act of greed and selfishness.

At 52 my father was a few years in to an open, new life. He was consistently happy like I'd never seen before. I knew that the last part of his life would leave him dying a fulfilled man, a fulfilled father and human filled with love.

Because of Boyd's act of violence my father didn't get to do that; see his son fight to get sober and come out of darkness. He only saw the darkness.

My dad didn't get to travel and fish. Didn't get to spend the holidays and proudly see his son serving his country.

Mr. Boyd didn't hurt me. I'm alive and well and coming to terms with my father's death, as all of us have to.

What you did was rob the beautiful end to a life that needed one.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Who is next?

MR. LINDQUIST: Bailey Cryderman, Your Honor. 1 2 THE COURT: Thank you. 3 When you're ready, state your name, please. 4 MS. CYRDERMAN: Bailey Cryderman, C-r-y-d-e-r-m-a-n. 5 THE COURT: Very good. What would you like to 6 7 tell the Court? MS. CRYDERMAN: Larry Howse was my uncle. 8 9 I often ask why this happened. And I can't wrap my 10 mind around how these men could kill my uncle. I have to 11 come to the conclusion that terrible people do terrible 12 things, and the fact that it doesn't make any sense to 13 me, that I am not one of those terrible people. 14 Andrew Boyd took away my uncle, my friend, my mom's brother and my cousin's dad. Over what? 15 16 My family will never be whole again. I will never 17 receive a text message reminding me of my son's birthday. I will never get to go on crazy adventures, and I will 18 19 never get to laugh at any more of his cheesy jokes. 20 was such a fun guy. It's been almost two years and I 21 still can't believe he is gone. 22 Boyd has lied and is a threat to society. At the 23 sentencing of Jeremy Bennett I felt a sense of closure. He apologized to my family, admitted to his wrongdoing. 24 25 Andrew Boyd has made me more angry, and I can say I have

nothing but hate for him. He is a lying thief that is blaming wrongdoings on his friend.

This is not his first crime, and if given his chance, not going to be his last. The public needs to be protected from this professional criminal.

Andrew Boyd is a terrible person. His family and this community should be ashamed of him.

To the city of Tacoma, I fear for you. I will never live in this city, and I assure you my family won't either. In 23 to 25 years this monster will once again roam your streets. He targeted my uncle over a car, a wallet and a watch. Who's to say it won't be your child, your friend or you next.

He is asking for 23 to 25 years in prison. He will be about 39 when he gets back into your community. I am asking that you protect yourselves and your families by not allowing this.

Jeremy Bennett received 28 years. And it will disgust me if he gets one day less because he has a good attorney and he stuck to his story.

I have nothing but gratitude for the Tacoma police for getting this man off the street. And it would be a joke to give him nothing less than the maximum sentence. Please correct this attorney and keep this degenerate human being for the same amount of time, if not longer

than his friend is.

THE COURT: Thank you, ma'am.

Mr. Howse, David Howse.

MR. LINDQUIST: Your Honor, this is Dave Howse, the brother of Lawrence Howse.

THE COURT: Very good.

MR. HOWSE: My name is Dave Howse, and Larry was my little brother.

He was a great father, brother, son, and a friend to many. He loved life. Was the first one to pitch in when anyone needed help. He was spontaneous and always ready for the next adventure. He loved anything to do with outdoors and his boat.

His family, two sons and friends were his focus in life. He worked and played in the marine industry most of his life, where he was highly respected. He could fix almost anything and always had a project or was helping someone with theirs.

I last talked to my brother Friday, August 30th, 2013. He was out on the boat with his son and his friends crabbing, having a great time. He told me he would call my son, and Travis and him would come over for the barbecue.

That Sunday morning I received a call from our brother Gary telling me Larry had been murdered the night

before. I didn't believe it. I contacted the Pierce
County coroner, and he put me in touch with the detective
on Larry's case that morning.

Then it sunk in. No more phone calls trading recipes, holidays, birthdays watching the kids become adults and helping them with their projects. Our family at that moment was broken and will never heal.

I will never be able to make any sense of this vicious crime. My brother was only going home after a day spent with family and friends, something most of us expect to do every day.

The defendant ambushed him, shot him twice, and left him to die on the floor of his parking garage for what, maybe 40 bucks, car keys to a car he didn't take, a wallet, a watch and a phone. I will never understand how someone could be that coldhearted. A total disregard for a human being.

Larry, he would have turned 56 two weeks from today.

I will celebrate it by placing flowers on his grave. His number is still programmed into my phone. No, I haven't healed.

The defendant, he will get a second chance at life, one he didn't give my brother. I would hope he would use this chance to get his life in order and do good things for himself and the community. We will see.

Due to the vicious and predatory nature of this crime, I would urge the Court sentence the maximum.

Nothing will bring my brother back. We will do everything we can to keep this from happening to someone else.

Furthermore, I would like to thank the Tacoma Police

Department, especially Mr. Nasworthy, who worked

tirelessly. He took the time to talk to me when I really
needed it. They're heros.

The Howse family extends thanks to the prosecutor,
Mr. Lindquist, Mr. Sheeran, Mr. Nelson, and all of their
staff for the hard work put into this in the last 20
months. They kept us informed and answered many more
questions, I'm sure.

Thanks to the victim advocates Ms. Summer and Ms. Klein, being there for us and answering our questions. And a great thank you to Mr. Lou Cox, a great man and listener. You all dedicate your lives here to making this world a safer place, and you are appreciated.

Thank you for putting up with me and allowing this opportunity for me to address this Court. Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you, sir.

Mr. Strong.

MR. LINDQUIST: Your Honor, this is David Strong, if he wishes to address the Court.

MR. STRONG: Your Honor, I am David Strong. I was Larry's best friend over the last two and a half years.

I've seen him love his sons. I've sat when he would cry and worry about them and we would pray for them.

He was my neighbor. Now, because of Mr. Boyd I'd look when I enter my home.

Larry and I would walk down the street and he would say hi to everybody. He would pick up litter. He loved this neighborhood. And we are no longer safe.

But the hardest part is I no longer have my best friend, someone to talk to. August 31st we were supposed to hang out. And Sunday morning my friend's trooper Collin Pearson called me to tell me on my way to church that Larry was dead. My life has never been the same.

These young men have come through so much. And I believe the only justice that we can get for my friend is that Mr. Boyd receive the maximum sentence possible. It will not bring Larry back. It won't even give justice. He gets to plea bargain, and my friend didn't get to even plea for his life. So today I ask for the most justice that we can get.

Thank you.

.10

THE COURT: Thank you, sir.

That's all I have on the list. Any others that wish

to speak to me? Does anyone else wish to speak on this
matter? Just raise your hand if you do.

Thank you.

. 9

MR. LINDQUIST: Thank you, Your Honor. Nothing further.

THE COURT: Mr. Clark.

MR. CLARK: Your Honor, I've been involved with this case from very relatively early on. I really want to talk about three things. I want to talk about Andrew's background, how he ended up where we are and what I think the ultimate sentence should be.

Typically, when I represent someone charged with a very serious offense I interface with many, many of their friends and family and they're concerned about them.

They want to know about the case. They want to provide support.

In Andrew's case, there was no one. There was a great grandmother and an elderly woman named Jacqueline who contacted me. Other than that, Andrew had and has no one.

Andrew grew up with his mother and his brother, and they lived in and out of shelters until he was 13 when he was reconnected with his father, Robert Brown.

He moved in with his father. His father abused substances, abused Andrew. And shortly thereafter, at

around age 14, Andrew was in foster care.

2.0

He lived with a foster family for three years, and there was conflict. Ultimately he moved into the Sylvester House.

It's moving to hear about Mr. Howse and his family. I think it's important to the Court to know that Andrew never had anything remotely like that. I'm not saying that as an excuse, but I do think that we learn how to relate to other people in our early ages.

Despite all of that, Andrew has no criminal convictions. He comes before the Court with a zero offender score.

This was an interesting case, because it was -- it was clear to me from the evidence that this was not planned out in any real sense of the word.

There was a witness that heard some comments which seemed to indicate that it was a spontaneous incident, and that one of the persons essentially cooked it up on the spot and the other person went along. That witness didn't hear any detailed discussion about what the plan was. It was simply, "Do you want to get him," something like that.

And the other person saying, "You want to;" now, you didn't hear that evidence because we terminated the trial early. But what that says to me is that although legally

both parties are equally culpable under felony murder law, there may be some difference in moral culpability. And that's ultimately for the Court to decide and for people at a higher pay grade than me to decide.

As the case progressed we had information that we thought would shed light on that and that may form the basis for a statutory defense to felony murder where one participant doesn't know the other participant is armed. We investigated that throughout the course of the proceedings.

Ultimately, that evidence didn't materialize for reasons -- for whatever Mr. Bennett's reasons were, he didn't want to speak to us about the case. Wasn't going to testify truthfully in our view as to what occurred.

But as the Court knows, oftentimes in litigation, as you're pursuing a particular theory as a party, that theory sometimes materializes with the evidence. It sometimes evaporates as you investigate.

That's why this case got to where it was on the eve of trial. It wasn't because Mr. Boyd wasn't willing to take responsibility for what he did.

But it was my obligation to thoroughly investigate all potential defenses and to advise him on all potential defenses.

After doing all that and looking at the evidence and

speaking with the state over the weekend, I knew that Mr. Boyd would take responsibility, and we negotiated something that I think accurately reflects the evidence as we viewed it coming into the trial.

It reflects Andrew's lack of criminal history, and reflects the fact that I don't think the state can say with certainty who they believe the shooter was. I don't believe it was Andrew. And that's where we're at.

And the Legislature has indicated through the guidelines that 240 months, the low end on this case, is the appropriate sentence for a person -- a appropriate sentence for a person that comes with no criminal history to this event.

And I think it's important to note that Andrew, there is no good time on that 20 years. So that is 20 years. Andrew will be 20 in July, I believe, so he will be almost 40. I know that I'm -- I was a lot different person at 40 than I was at 20. And I had a lot of advantages that Andrew didn't have as a youth.

So I'm asking the Court to impose 240 months; that's total confinement, not a single day off for good behavior. I think that that's a reasonable sentence in light of all the facts in this case.

And I don't have anything further.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Clark.

્ 5

Mr. Boyd, you are entitled to address this Court, to speak to this Court before sentence is imposed. You're not required to speak, but you're certainly entitled.

What would you like to tell me.

THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, I -- I did not kill Lawrence Howse on that night. I did show improper actions before, during and after the murder.

I should have never went into the garage. I should have made a strong, good-faith effort to stop the murder, and I should have reported to the police after the murder. I did none of those things.

So I'm hoping Lawrence Howse's family and friends in time can learn to forgive me.

That's it. Thank you.

THE COURT: This case, defendant's conduct demonstrates probably at the minimum a callused indifference for human life.

The conduct, I think the word depraved comes to my mind. Total disregard for fellow human beings and the effect this conduct had on their families, not only on the decedent, but on their families; not only for the generations that are here, but for the generations that aren't.

It's not just a disregard for the law, frankly. The law, itself, only reflects our concern for each other.

That's not the reason you don't kill somebody. The best prediction for future conduct is past behavior; that's about the best we've got.

One of the duties of this Court is to protect society as much as I can under the statute, under the standard range of the Legislature the best I possibly can. The Legislature has spoken and said the minimum is 240, the maximum is 320 months. I don't see any reason to go outside those ranges. I have heard no argument that I should, and I don't see any factual support for it in any event.

Consequently, those are the bounds, those are the limits of this Court. But I do think it is incumbent upon this Court to protect society just as long as I possibly can, which is 320 months, and 36 months of community custody.

Beyond that, there will be restitution, proper amounts for crime victims, the LFOs we call them. \$200 court costs, \$500 DAC recoupment. I realize that there's not a great deal of money, but there will be some opportunity to have some money in custody, and you should pay back for the attorney as well; restitution if applicable. Not much question there's at least some. Whatever that is will be ordered as well by future court order.

No contact with the victims at all. Not to say 1 2 you're sorry, not in any way. They've heard enough from 3 you. Forfeiture of items in property. And of course, law 4 5 abiding behavior on the community custody release. 6 MR. CLARK: Your Honor, Mr. Boyd has waived his 7 presence at the restitution hearing, and to indicate that 8 he's placed his initials on the Judgment and Sentence in 9 the appropriate paragraph. 10 THE COURT: Thank you. 11 MR. NELSON: Your Honor, on the Judgment and 12 Sentence I did strike out the voter rights, as Mr. Boyd did sign Addendum A at the time of the plea. 13 14 THE COURT: The law provides you the right to 15 appeal decisions of this Court. It is a limited right in 16 time. A notice of appeal must be filed within 30 days 17 from the entry of the Judgment to be done today. If you 18 don't file it within 30 days, you basically -- I didn't 19 hear you. 20 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 21 THE COURT: Have you had a chance to review that 22 with your attorney? 23 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 24 THE COURT: Any questions about it at all?

No.

THE DEFENDANT:

ERTIFICATE STATE OF WASHINGTON COUNTY OF PIERCE I, Leslie J. Thompson, an official court reporter for Pierce County Superior Court, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of the proceedings as taken by me in the above-entitled matter. CCR NO. 2690

THE COURT: Ms. Schramm, do you have the Warrant of Commitment there? THE JUDICIAL ASSISTANT: Yes. MR. NELSON: The Warrant of Commitment should be at the end of the J&S. THE COURT: I have it here. I've signed the Judgment & Sentence, the Warrant of Commitment. You are remanded to the custody of the jail. Court will be at recess. MR. LINDQUIST: Thank you, Judge. [Whereupon, the verbatim report of proceedings adjourned.]

COURT OF APPEALS
DIVISION II

2019 AUG -9 PH 12: 51
STATE OF WASHINGTON
BY
DEPUTY

		:
For	Prive County	
A P	Petitioner/Plaintiff, vs. VICE COUNTY CASULATED Respondent/Defendant.	No. 13-1-03713-7 Motion and Declaration For Waiver of Civil Filing Fees and Surcharges (MTAF)
	I. Mo	tion
1.1	I am the petitioner/plaintiff [] responde	ent/defendant in this action.
1.2	I am asking for a waiver of all filing fees a	nd surcharges.
	II. Basis	for Motion
2.1.		s or surcharges the payment of which is a secure access to judicial relief" for a person adident.

_Court of Washington

III. Declaration

I declare that,

Superior

3.1 I cannot afford to meet my necessary household living expenses and pay the filing fees and surcharges imposed by the court. Please see the attached Financial Statement, which I incorporate as part of this declaration.

	2
	<u> </u>
[]	(Check if applies.) I filed this motion by mail. I enclosed a self-addressed stamped envelope with the motion so that I can receive a copy of the order once it is signed.
	are under penalty of perjury under the laws of the state of Washington that the foregoing is nd correct.
Signe	d at (city) Connell, (state) wa on (date) 8/5/19
Signa	ture Converience Converien

Super	for	Court of Washington	
For D	ierce	County	
For P Andrea	(B-	240)	No. 137-03713-2
	<i>)</i>	Petitioner/Plaintiff, vs. Respondent/Defendant.	Order Re Waiver of Civil Filing Fees and Surcharges [] Granted (ORPRFP) [] Denied (ORDYMT) [X] Clerk's Action Required 3.1
		I. B	asis
The court re	ceived t /plaintiff	he motion to waive filing fees [] respondent/defendant.	and surcharges filed by or on behalf of the
		II. Fir	ndings
		the motion and supporting de and files, the Court finds:	claration(s). Based on the declaration(s) and
2.1 []	The r	noving party is indigent based	on the following: He or she:
	[]		d legal aid provider that screened and found e civil legal aid services; and/or
	[]	receives benefits from one assistance programs; and/o	or more needs-based, means-tested or
	[]	has household income at o and/or	r below 125% of the federal poverty guideline;
	[]		ve 125% of the federal poverty guideline but old living expenses and pay the fees and/or
	[]	other:	
2.2 []	The r	noving party is not indigent.	-

Mt and Decl for Civil Fee Waiver (MTAF) - Page 4 of 5 WPF GR 34.0100 (2/2011) - GR 34

Case Name: In re of Andrew Boyd Case Number: 13-1-037 (3-2

	Financial Statem	nent (Attachment)	S, 30
1. My name is: Andrew	Boya	¥	37 34 8
2. [] I provide support to peopl	e who live with me:	How many? Age(s):	1 %
3. My Monthly Income:	(,	6. My Monthly Household Ex	penses:
Employed [] Unemploye	d[]	Rent/Mortgage:	\$
Employer's Name:		Food/Household Supplies:	\$ (
Gross pay per month (salary or hourly pay):	\$	Utilities:	\$
Take home pay per month:	\$	Transportation:	\$
4. Other Sources of Income P Household:	er Month in my	Ordered Maintenance actually paid:	\$
Source:	\$	Ordered Child Support actually paid:	\$
Source:	\$	Clothing:	\$
Source:	\$	Child Care:	\$
Source:	\$	Education Expenses:	\$
Sub-Total:	\$	Insurance (car, health):	\$
[] I receive food stamps.		Medical Expenses:	\$
Total Income, lines 3 (take home pay) and 4:	\$	Sub-Total:	\$
5. My Household Assets:	22	7. My Other Monthly Househ	old Expenses:
Cash on hand:	\$		\$ (
Checking Account Balance:	\$ 10.87		\$
Savings Account Balance:	\$ 11.78		\$ (
Auto #1 (Value less loan):	\$ /		\$
Auto #2 (Value less loan):	\$	Sub-Total:	\$ /
Home (Value less mortgage):	\$	8. My Other Debts with Mont	thly Payments:
Other:	\$		\$ /mo
Other:	\$	8	\$ /mo
Other:	\$		\$ /mo
Other:	\$		\$ /mo
Other:	\$ (Sub-Total:	\$
Total Household Assets:	\$	Total Household Expenses and Debts, lines 6, 7, and 8:	\$
Date: 8/5/14		Signature:	1

2.3	[]	Other:	
			III. Order
Base	d on the	e finding:	s the court orders:
3.1	[]	The m	otion is granted, and
		[]	all filing fees and surcharges the payment of which is a condition precedent to the moving party's ability to secure access to judicial relief are waived.
		[]	other:
3.2	[]	The m	ootion is denied.
3.3			aterial change in financial circumstances, the ruling can be revisited by the noving party.
	or and	other res ed filing f or anoth	was granted and the court, upon review, later finds that either the petitioner sponsible party to this proceeding has sufficient resources to pay the ees or surcharges, the Court may modify this order and require the moving her party to pay the filing fees and/or surcharges that have been waived by
Date	d:		Ludw/Oanswindings
D			Judge/Commissioner
Prese	ented by	/ :	
Signa	ature of	Party or	Lawyer/WSBA No.
Print	or Type	Name	Date

04/10/2019 10:30 AASIMPSON

Department of Corrections COYOTE RIDGE CORRECTIONS CENTER

Page 1 Of 2 OTRTASTA 10.2.1.3

TRUST ACCOUNT STATEMENT

DOC#: 0000382895 Name: BOYD, ANDREW JAMES

DOB:

07/25/1995

LOCATION: R01-125-CA552U

ACCOUNT BALANCES Total:

58.29

CURRENT:

43.29

HOLD:

15.00

03/01/2019 04/10/2019

SUB ACCOUNT	START B	ALANCE E	ND BALANCE
SPENDABLE BAL		10.87	31.51
SAVINGS BALANCE		5.78	11.78
WORK RELEASE SAVINGS		0.00	0.00
EDUCATION ACCOUNT		0.00	0.00
MEDICAL ACCOUNT		0.00	0.00
POSTAGE ACCOUNT		0.00	0.00
COMM SERV REV FUND ACCOUNT		0.00	0.00
	DEBTC AND	OBLIGATION	īC
	DEDIS AND	OBLIGATION	10

TYPE	PAYABLE	INFO NUMBER	AMOUNT OWING	AMOUNT PAID	WRITE OFF AMT.
COI	COST OF INCARCERATION	05192015	UNLIMITED	0.00	0.00
SPOSD	SAPOS POSTAGE DEBT	05222015	0.00	5.50	0.00
EL	ESCORTED LEAVE	05192015	UNLIMITED	0.00	0.00
HYGA	INMATE STORE DEBT	07082015	0.00	2.12	0.00
HYGA	INMATE STORE DEBT	05222015	0.00	18.72	0.00
TVD	TV CABLE FEE DEBT	07112015	0.00	2.00	0.00
cvcs	CRIME VICTIM COMPENSATION/07112000	05192015	UNLIMITED	6.50	0.00
CVC	CRIME VICTIM COMPENSATION	05192015	UNLIMITED	88.98	0.00
COIS	COST OF INCARCERATION /07112000	05192015	UNLIMITED	22.00	0.00
SPOSD	SAPOS POSTAGE DEBT	07082015	0.00	1.10	0.00
LFO	LEGAL FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS	20150603	UNLIMITED	26.18	0.00

TRANSACTION DESCRIPTIONS --

SPENDABLE BAL SUB-ACCOUNT

DATE	TYPE	TRANSACTION DESCRIPTION	TRANSA	CTION AMT	BALANCE
03/09/2019	TV	IO5 - TV CABLE FEE	(0.50)	10.37
03/18/2019	WU_TXN	WUINTERF: CALLIE\MORGAN, 10419 S WILLOW AVE, FRESNO, CA, 93725, 206451		10.00	20.37
03/18/2019	DED	Deductions-LFO-20150603 D D	(2.00)	18.37
03/18/2019	DED	Deductions-CVCS-05192015 D D	(0.50)	17.87
03/18/2019	DED	Deductions-SAV-10162018 D D	(1.00)	16.87
03/18/2019	DED	Deductions-COIS-05192015 D D	(2.00)	14.87
03/19/2019	CRS	CRS SAL ORD #10465445	(11.35)	3.52
03/26/2019	WU_TXN	WUINTERF: CALLIE\MORGAN, 10419 S WILLOW AVE, FRESNO, CA, 93725, 206451		5.00	8.52
04/05/2019	WU_TXN	WUINTERF: JESSICA\WILLIAMS, 508 S 7TH ST # 108, TACOMA, WA, 98402, 206		50.00	58.52
04/05/2019	DED	Deductions-LFO-20150603 D D	(10.00)	48.52
04/05/2019	DED	Deductions-CVCS-05192015 D D	(2.50)	46.02
04/05/2019	DED	Deductions-SAV-10162018 D D	(5.00)	41.02

04/10/2019 10:30 AASIMPSON

Department of Corrections COYOTE RIDGE CORRECTIONS CENTER

Page 2 Of 2 OTRTASTA 10.2.1.3

TRUST ACCOUNT STATEMENT

DOC#:

LOCATION: R01-125-CA552U

0000382895 Name: BOYD, ANDREW JAMES

DOB:

07/25/1995

DATE	TYPE	TRANSACTION DESCRIPTION	TRANSACTION AMT	BALANCE
04/05/2019	DED	Deductions-COIS-05192015 D D	(10.00)	31.02
04/09/2019	WTS	WTS MSC 2ND QTR 4/9/19	(7.00)	24.02
04/10/2019	C3_TXN	GPINTERF: Class III Gratuity, TXN_DATE 04/10/2019, NET_AMOUNT 788	7.88	31.90
04/10/2019	DED	Deductions-CVC-05192015 D D	(0.39)	31.51
TR	ANSACTIO	N DESCRIPTIONS	SAVINGS BALANCE SUB-ACCO	UNT
DATE	TYPE	TRANSACTION DESCRIPTION	TRANSACTION AMT	BALANCE
03/18/2019	DED	Deductions-SAV-10162018 D D	1.00	6.78
04/05/2019	DED	Deductions-SAV-10162018 D D	5.00	11.78
TR	ANSACTIO	N DESCRIPTIONS	WORK RELEASE SUB-ACCO SAVINGS	DUNT
DATE	TYPE	TRANSACTION DESCRIPTION	TRANSACTION AMT	BALANCE
TRANSACTION DESCRIPTIONS		N DESCRIPTIONS	EDUCATION ACCOUNT SUB-ACCO	UNT
DATE	TYPE	TRANSACTION DESCRIPTION	TRANSACTION AMT	BALANCE
TR	ANSACTIO	N DESCRIPTIONS	MEDICAL ACCOUNT SUB-ACCO	UNT
DATE	TYPE	TRANSACTION DESCRIPTION	TRANSACTION AMT	BALANCE
TR	ANSACTIO	N DESCRIPTIONS	POSTAGE ACCOUNT SUB-ACCO	UNT
DATE	TYPE	TRANSACTION DESCRIPTION	TRANSACTION AMT	BALANCE
TR	TRANSACTION DESCRIPTIONS		COMM SERV REV SUB-ACCO FUND ACCOUNT	OUNT
DATE	TYPE	TRANSACTION DESCRIPTION	TRANSACTION AMT	BALANCE